INITED AMARIA DIAMBIAM AAIDM

2	DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO	
3	LUIS E. DUBON-OTERO,	
4	Petitioner,	Civil No. 05-1549(JAF)
5	v.	(Criminal No. 97-091)
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
7	Respondent.	

8 ORDER

The present Federal habeas corpus petition (18 U.S.C. § 2255) seeks a <u>Booker</u> resentencing hearing against a sixty-month criminal sentence imposed on August 1, 2000. <u>See Booker v. United States</u>, ______ U.S. ____, 125 S.Ct. 738 (2005). The underlying facts can be gleaned from the appellate decision affirming the conviction and sentence. <u>See United States v. Dubón-Otero</u>, 292 F.3d 1 (1st Cir. 2002).

There being no <u>Apprendi¹/Booker</u> issue to address on collateral review, we summarily deny the petition as meritless under Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2255 Petitions in United States District Courts.

The bottom line in movant's argument is that during the 2000 hearing, we denied a requested downward departure based on the defendant's history of charitable acts and donations. Counsel now

¹<u>Apprendi v. New Jersey</u>, 530 U.S. 466 (2000). At the time of the original sentencing, Petitioner raised an <u>Apprendi</u> claim, and we denied relief. The claim was abandoned on appeal.

Civil No. 05-1549 (JAF)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

-2-

believes that in the post-Booker era, such downward departure is a possibility if the court would choose to impose a non-guideline sentence.

Originally, and under the mandatory guideline regime, we refused to depart on such basis. At that time, we never intimated a willingness to depart had the mandatory guidelines so allowed. Today, our view is the same. Such departure is not available, nor are we willing to craft one under non-guideline, post-Booker sentencing principles if presented with such opportunity.

The request for post-Booker retroactive relief is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

San Juan, Puerto Rico, this 24th day of August, 2005.

13 S/José Antonio Fusté 14 JOSE ANTONIO FUSTE 15 Chief U. S. District Judge